

## EPA Official Record

**Notes ID:** 94F94133DFB95A4D8825768600614FC9

**From:** Brian Nickel/R10/USEPA/US

**To:** "Darrell, Ginny (ECY)" <GDAR461@ECY.WA.GOV>

**Copy To:** "Moore, David (ECY)" <DMOO461@ECY.WA.GOV>; rkoc461@ecy.wa.gov; PHAL461@ECY.WA.GOV

**Delivered Date:** 12/08/2009 10:51 AM PDT

**Subject:** RE: Draft fact sheet discussion for seasonal average P limits

Ginny, all,

I've provided corrections to my original e-mail in red, below.

-Brian

▼ "Darrell, Ginny (ECY)" ---12/07/2009 05:15:09 PM---Thanks, Brian. I will share this with Richard and Pat only, and will include your entire message.

"Darrell, Ginny (ECY)"  
<GDAR461@ECY.WA.GOV>  
12/07/2009 05:14 PM

To	Brian Nickel/R10/USEPA/US@EPA
cc	"Moore, David (ECY)" <DMOO461@ECY.WA.GOV>
Subject	RE: Draft fact sheet discussion for seasonal average P limits

Thanks, Brian. I will share this with Richard and Pat only, and will include your entire message.

- Ginny

**From:** Nickel.Brian@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Nickel.Brian@epamail.epa.gov]

**Sent:** Monday, December 07, 2009 5:06 PM

**To:** Darrell, Ginny (ECY)

**Cc:** Moore, David (ECY)

**Subject:** Draft fact sheet discussion for seasonal average P limits

Ginny:

You had asked me if I could share with you the fact sheet language that I was working on, explaining the basis for seasonal average (as opposed to monthly average and weekly average or maximum daily) limits were appropriate, for total phosphorus. That discussion has gone through some internal review now, and I have attached it as a PDF file. There are some important caveats, so I ask that you please do not distribute this further without including the body of this e-mail.

The caveats are:

1. ~~Although~~ HDR did a couple of model runs a few months ago, to show that there is a 0.06 mg/L difference between constant discharges of 50 ug/L, every day, at all of the point sources, and highly variable discharges which had an average of 50 ug/L. I've attached some PowerPoint slides (as a PDF file) describing this modeling work. I mention this modeling in the discussion; **however**, we have not had time to verify these modeling results, and we would want to do that before proposing seasonal average limits in the draft Idaho permits.
2. This discussion is still a preliminary draft document, and it may change prior to the public comment period for the draft Idaho **permits** based on further internal review by EPA headquarters and management. The 401 cert process with Idaho could result in changes prior to public comment as well.

I hope this is helpful to you in preparing your draft permits.

Thank you,

Brian Nickel, E.I.T.

Environmental Engineer

US EPA Region 10 | Office of Water and Watersheds | NPDES Permits Unit

Voice: 206-553-6251 | Toll Free: 800-424-4372 ext. 6251 | Fax: 206-553-0165

Nickel.Brian@epa.gov

<http://epa.gov/r10earth/waterpermits.htm>

Please conserve natural resources by not printing this message.